

# ON A CERTAIN PROPERTY RELATED WITH SPLITTING FIELDS

DIEGO MARQUES

**ABSTRACT.** For some well-known families  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , we show the existence of an algebraic number  $\alpha$ , such that  $\alpha^n$  is not in the splitting field of  $\mathcal{F}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . In the general case, we give conditions for the existence of a such number.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a field, then for any transcendental number  $\alpha$ , the number  $\alpha^n \notin K$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . However, there is not an algebraic number whose integer powers are not in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . So, for convenience, we say that a field  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  *has the property  $\mathbf{P}$* , if there exist an algebraic number  $\alpha$ , satisfying  $\alpha^n \notin K$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . The goal of this work is to explicit some families of polynomials  $\mathcal{F}$ , with rational coefficients, such that the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has the property  $\mathbf{P}$ . As tool, we use since properties of number fields until the Weissauer's theorem on hilbertian fields. We finish this work fixing conditions for a field to have this such property. On the way, we give some relationships between this property and some questions related with transcendental number theory.

## 2. SPLITTING FIELD AND THE PROPERTY $\mathbf{P}$

Surely, if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , or even when  $\mathcal{F} = \{P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : P \text{ is irreducible over } \mathbb{Q}\}$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We start our journey with families of polynomials with degree one, that is when  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is still  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *For all integer number  $m \geq 1$  and all prime number  $p$ , we have that*

$$(2.1) \quad (1 + \sqrt[m]{p})^n \notin \mathbb{Q}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* We recall that the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{1, \sqrt[m]{p}, \dots, (\sqrt[m]{p})^{m-1}\}$  forms a basis of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{p})|\mathbb{Q}$ . By binomial theorem,  $(1 + \sqrt[m]{p})^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\sqrt[m]{p})^k$ . If  $n < m$ , then  $(1 + \sqrt[m]{p})^n \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , by the previous comment. When  $n \geq m$ , so there are integers  $l, r$ , with  $0 \leq r < m$  and  $n = ml + r$  and then  $(1 + \sqrt[m]{p})^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^l (\sqrt[m]{p})^r \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , again because of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independence of the set  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

Any algebraic number  $\alpha \geq e^{-1/e} = 0.69220$  satisfying  $\alpha^n \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  (including the numbers in (2.1)) can be written as  $T^T$ , for some  $T$  transcendental. A proof for this result, as well as more related results, can be found in [4].

---

*Key words and phrases.* splitting field, Galois theory.

The author is a scholarship holder of the CNPq.

<sup>1</sup> $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  denotes the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is a finite set, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* Take a prime number  $p > [\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) : \mathbb{Q}]$  (keep in mind the finiteness of  $[\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ ). Clearly,  $\alpha := 1 + \sqrt[p]{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , the number  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) : \mathbb{Q}]$  is either 1 or  $p$ . It follows from Proposition 1 that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) : \mathbb{Q}] = p$  and then  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Therefore we have our desired result.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : \deg P = k\}$ . Then the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* Let  $p > k$  be a prime number. We claim that  $1 + \sqrt[p]{p}$  is not in  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ . On the contrary, this number would be in  $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$  for some  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Therefore, the number  $p$  divides  $[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) : \mathbb{Q}]$ . On the other hand,  $[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) : \mathbb{Q}] = t_1 \cdots t_s$ , where  $1 \leq t_j \leq k$ . Thus  $p|t_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , but this contradicts the inequality  $p > k \geq t_j$ . For showing that the natural powers of  $1 + \sqrt[p]{p}$  are not in the splitting field of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we proceed as at the end of the proof of the last proposition.  $\square$

Note that the last result is a generalization for the Proposition 1, namely when  $k = 1$ . Given non-constant polynomials  $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , we take an algebraic number  $\alpha$ , satisfying  $\alpha^n \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\{P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : \deg P = \deg Q\}})$ . Then for convenient rational numbers  $Q$  (depending of  $P(x)$ ), the number  $Q\alpha$  can be written of the form  $P(T)^{Q(T)}$ , for some  $T$  transcendental. A proof for this result can be seen in [3]. For proving the next result we make use of a little bit of Galois theory.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : \deg P \leq k\}$ . Then the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* Set  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$ , and for each  $n \geq 1$ , set  $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{F_1 \dots F_n})$  and  $[K_n : \mathbb{Q}] = t_n$ . Since  $K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$ , then  $t_n | t_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, there are integers  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $t_n = m_{n-1} \cdots m_1 t_1$ . Note that  $K_{n+1} = K_n(\mathcal{R}_{F_{n+1}})$  and  $\deg F_{n+1} \leq k$ . It follows that  $[K_{n+1} : K_n] \leq k^k$ . Because  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$ , we also have that  $\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n} \leq k^k$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . On the other hand  $\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n} = m_n$ , so the sequence  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded. Thus, we ensure the existence of a prime number  $p > \max_{n \geq 1} \{m_n, t_1, 3\}$ . Hence  $p$  does not divide  $t_n$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . We pick a real number  $\alpha$  that is a root of the irreducible polynomial  $F(x) = x^p - 4x + 2$  and we claim that  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ . In fact, on the contrary, there exists a number  $s \geq 1$ , such that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{F_1 \dots F_s}) = K_s$ . Since  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = p$ , we would have that  $p | t_s$ , however this is impossible. Moreover, given  $n \geq 1$ , we have the field inclusions  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . So  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$  or  $p$ , but  $\alpha^n$  can not be written as radicals over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , since that  $F(x)$  is not solvable by radicals over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , see [1, p. 189]. Hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n)$  and then  $\alpha^n \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ .  $\square$

Our next purpose is to give some sufficient conditions for the splitting field of a family of polynomials to have the property **P**. For that, we recall the following definition

**Definition 1.** *A field  $k$  is said to be hilbertian if for all irreducible polynomial  $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$ , with degree  $\geq 1$  in  $y$ , there exist infinitely many  $b \in k$  such that the polynomial  $f(b, y)$  (in one variable) is irreducible.*

For instance  $\mathbb{Q}$  is hilbertian, but  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is not. All finite extension of a hilbertian field is still hilbertian. Thus, all algebraic number field (finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) is

hilbertian (this fact is well-known as Hilbert irreducibility theorem). This is nicely complemented by a result due to Weissauer on an algebraic extension (possibly infinite) of hilbertian field.

**Theorem 1** (Weissauer). *Let  $k$  be hilbertian, let  $N$  be a Galois extension of  $k$  and let  $M$  be a finite extension of  $N$ , with  $M \neq N$ . Then  $M$  is hilbertian.*

A better discussion on hilbertian fields, as well as the proof for some previous assertions, can be found in [2, Chapter 3]. We proved that

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of polynomials with rational coefficients. If there exists  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  such that  $1 < [\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})] < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* We have the following tower:  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a perfect field, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})] < \infty$ , so it follows from Weissauer's theorem that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is hilbertian. Take  $\gamma$  a primitive element of the extension  $K(U)(\mathcal{R}_P)|K(U)$ , where the set  $U = \{U_1, \dots, U_5\}$  is algebraically independent over  $K$ . Since the minimal polynomial of  $\gamma$  over  $K(U)$ ,  $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{5!} w_j x^j \in K(U)[x]$ , is irreducible over  $K(U)$  and  $K$  is hilbertian, then there exists a homomorphism  $\lambda : K(U) \rightarrow K$ , such that the polynomial  $P^\lambda(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{5!} \lambda(w_j) x^j \in K[x]$  is irreducible over  $K$  and its Galois group is isomorphic to  $S_5$ . therefore, for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{P^\lambda}$ , we have that any integer power of  $\alpha^n$  can not be in the splitting field of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

As application, we have the property **P** related with families  $\mathcal{F}$  such that the set  $\{\deg P : P \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is unbounded

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\mathcal{F} = \{x^n - 2 : n \geq 2\}$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathcal{G} = \{x^n - 2 : n \geq 3\}$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $\gamma_n$  be a primitive element of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \dots, \sqrt[n]{2}, \zeta_3, \dots, \zeta_n)|\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n}$ . Since  $[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = t_n > 2$ , Then  $\{1, \gamma_n, \dots, \gamma_n^{t_n-1}\}$  is a basis for this extension, and for all  $P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , the algebraic number  $\frac{P(\gamma_n)}{Q(\gamma_n)}$  is either a rational number or it has degree  $\geq 3$ . So,  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \dots, \sqrt[n]{2})$  and therefore  $[\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) : \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}})] = 2$ . The result follows from Proposition 5.  $\square$

The demonstration of the next result is similar to the end of the proof of Proposition 5.

**Proposition 6.** *All hilbertian field has the property **P**.*

**Corollary 2.** *The field  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}}$  obtained by adjoining all roots of unity to  $\mathbb{Q}$  has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6, it is enough to show that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}}$  is hilbertian. In fact, denote  $M = \mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}}$  and  $N = \mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ . Clearly,  $M$  is invariant under complex conjugation. If  $z = a + b\sqrt{-1} \in M$ , then  $a = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2}(z - \bar{z})$  are in  $N$ . Hence  $M = N(\sqrt{-1})$ . Consider the tower  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq N \subseteq M$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is hilbertian and  $[M : N] = 2$ , then by Weissauer's theorem, it is enough to prove that  $N$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . That is reduced to prove that  $N_n \cap \mathbb{R}$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , where  $N_n = \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{x^n-1})$ . It is well-known that  $N_n|\mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian extension, hence  $N_n \cap \mathbb{R}$  is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and thus it is a Galois extension, since that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a perfect field.  $\square$

By the theorem of Kronecker and Weber,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}}$  is the composite of all finite abelian extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , see [1, p. 259].

Our next result gives an example of a non hilbertian field which satisfies our desired property. First, we recall that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  denotes the composite of all finite solvable extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Corollary 3.** *The field  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  is not hilbertian, but it has the property **P**.*

*Proof.* It is not hard to prove that the polynomial  $f(x, y) = y^2 - x$  is irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$ . Also, the field  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  is closed under taking square roots (because it consists of all algebraic numbers that are expressible by iterated radicals, by the Galois' theorem). Thus  $f(b, y)$  is redutible over  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  for each  $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  (because  $\sqrt{b} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}} \cap \mathcal{R}_{f(b, y)}$ ). Thus  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  is not hilbertian. On other hand, if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{x^5 - 4x + 2}$ , then  $\alpha^n \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\text{solv}}$  because  $\alpha$  can not be expressed as radicals over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

We conclude with two related questions

**Question 1.** *To prove or disprove the existence of a family  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying:*

- (i)  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) \neq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ;
- (ii) *For any  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \setminus \{\mathcal{F}\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F} \cup \{P\}}) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

**Question 2.** *To prove or disprove that a field  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  has the property **P** if, and only if  $K \neq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Give an example, if any, of a field  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  which does not have the property **P**.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Falko, "Algebra, volume 1: fields and Galois theory". Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 2006.
2. C. Jensen, A. Ledet, N. Yui, "Generic polynomials constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem". Cambridge University Press, 2002.
3. D. Marques, "Algebraic numbers of the form  $P(T)^{Q(T)}$ , with  $T$  transcendental", Preprint, 2008.
4. J. Sondow, D. Marques, "Algebraic, irrational, and transcendental solutions of some exponential equations and values of the infinite power tower functions", Preprint, 2008.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ, FORTALEZA, CEARÁ, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `diego@mat.ufc.br`